

Predictable Very High Speed Networking for Big Science Yatish Kumar HEAVEN CTO Corsa Technology



then we make it. Only our choices complicate this journey.



Breaking Down The Title

Predictable

Traffic Engineered / Bandwidth Calendared or Mostly Vacant networks Predictable networking returns \$\$ in storage

Very High Speed Networking Efficient conversion of \$\$ into BW Avoiding technology limitations

For Big Science

Moving large datasets and connecting instruments is a very special case of networking. No need to boil the ocean. Just a very big pond.



You Already Know Everything I Will Talk About



The purpose is to draw focus to what I have learned after countless hours of discussion with numerous NRE networks

Where is Data Intensive Science Headed?

Exponential regression with 12 month projection Projected volume for Sep 2017: 162.8 PB Actual volume for Sep 2016: 39.2 PB

Demand is exponential but

Budgets are linear or flat

Microprocessor Transistor Counts 1971-2011 & Moore's Law

Exponential Performance Gain Constant / Linear Cost per mm²

Moore's Law Ended at 28nm

The number of transistors in a dense integrated circuit doubles approximately every two years.

Cause - Effect: 2013 - 2016 - Future

Networking solutions for the first two or three years of the transition have squeezed efficiency out of the eco system:

- Finfets have provided 2x speed, but linear area gain
- 2. We have accumulated gains by skipping a nm generation.
 - 1. 28 nm 20 nm 16 nm 10 nm 7 nm 5/4/3 nm
- 3. We have commodifized HW so that silicon cost is a greater fraction of system cost. (Was around 10%) now 25-50%)
- 4. We have improved contact and metal layers whilst running into barriers at the transistor layer

40nm 28nm 16nm Trident (640G) / Trident 2 (1.2T) / Tomahawk (3.2T) / Tomahawk 2 (6.4T)

Soon the options train will compress then what?

7 nm will be the final node We have 2 or 3 more years to react

The end of the FET transistor is not the end of the road

Carbon Nanotubes Vertical nm Structures

http://semiengineering.com/ to-7nm-and-beyond/

But first we have to make it past the next 5 to 10 years

Corner Stones for Growth (2017-2023)

Parallelism Coherent DWDM + Super Channels + Many L2/L3 Chips

Simplification Rethink our need for L2 and L3 protocols. Rethink our need for overlay networks (More L2 and L3 protocols) Rethink our need for running links at 100% Rethink our need for Programmable Networks

Underlay Networks

The underlay network delivers packets between network elements 2. The underlay network deals with issues related to moving packets over physical distances - Traffic engineering, resilience to link failures are the primary objective

Underlay Fabric

OSI Stack

L3 Network Layer L2 Link Layer L1 Physical

Underlay vs. Overlay Networks

- Overlay networks are built assuming underlay networks are performing their function
- Primary objectives of overlay networks 2
 - Forwarding abstraction (L3VPN, L2VPN, Policy Based Routing etc..)
 - Multi-tenancy on the underlay (isolation)
 - Service level resilience (multiple peering, multiple underlays etc..)

Overlay Network

OSI Stack

L3 Network Layer L2 Link Layer

L3 Network Layer L2 Link Layer L1 Physical

then we make it. Only our choices complicate this journey.

Overlay Underlay Network

Core nodes do not run overlay protocols
 Edge nodes do not hand off underlay connectivity between domains

Overlay Underlay OR Edge Core Same Thing. Tomaato or Tomahto

- L3VPN eBGP, ISIS, OSPF L2VPN - VPLS BoD - VLAN, MPLS pseudowire
- IP Networks BGP + ISIS + Policy E-VPN - MPLS edge + LSP Core SPB - Ethernet edge + Ethernet Core

```
MPLS - LDP, RSVP, MPLS-TE
QinQ - Learning Tag substitution Flooding
MinM - ISIS / SPB
Routed - ISIS / L3 weights and policy
Seg Routing - PCE, ISIS
```


Nice Features of Segment Routing

- 1. Default path computation. However provides selective overrides.
- 2. Active / Active path selection.
 - 1. Compute distribution identifier at source. 2. Use selective overrides to drive packets down both active paths.
- 3. No explosion of LSP paths.
 - 1. No network flow based states.
 - 2. No distribution of IP or Mac tables from the edge to the core.
 - 3. Unless you want to.
- 4. Limited expansion of packet header.

Segment Routing is SDN for the Core WAN

Separation of the control plane and the data plane **Programmability of key behaviours:**

Topology and Forwarding Algorithm Active / Active multi-paths Centralized / Global traffic optimization **Resilience and Recovery** Hop by Hop Forwarding Decisions (True L3 Behaviour)

Match-Action is **not** the only SDN Paradigm

- AWESOME... But where is this talk headed?

The Arc Of Discussion

End of Moore's Law makes us want to grow BW by simplification AND parallelism

Simplification

Rethink our need for L2 and L3 protocols. Rethink our need for overlay networks (More L2 and L3 protocols) Rethink our need for running links at < 100% Rethink our need for Programmable Networks

Data Intensive Science is not General Purpose Networking

What can we gain from that fact?

Segment routing is a promising tool for the purpose

Big Science Can Possibly Violate The CE/PE Boundary

2 Edge nodes do not hand off underlay connectivity between domains

Many Many Challenges

commodity service

There are solutions to these problems. This is the community that can tackle the problem.

Nothing Ventured Nothing Gained.

How do we isolate commodity and big science in a unified core?

How does a DTE determine a path through the core?

How does an operator ensure trust with a campus?

How do multiple science collaborations share a core?

What management and stats interfaces need to exist?

How does the NOC debug problems when they happen?

Fun things to **Invent**

Edge based network path computation Enhanced TCP / UDP transport assuming exclusive access to a link Multi-Tenancy Bandwidth Calendar Pseudo TDM Packet Protocols - (Personal Favourite) QoS with Policing and Shaping rather than Weighted Fair Queuing Minimal CE/PE Boundary

Grab a testbed and let's see what we can break :)

- Congestion management vs. Congestion Avoidance vs. Zero Congestion